INTRODUCTION
or
The Church Of Heliocentrism

The shape of the Earth and the nature of the universe is one of the most important questions in the history of mankind. Since the dawn of history, the understanding of nature and reality has been the center of attention for astronomers and philosophers. Most people today assume that the question of the shape of the earth has been solved long ago, as that is what the media and the education systems teach, yet in the 21st century the old question of the shape of the earth turns out to be just as topical as it has been throughout history. Since the early 2015, the internet has been flooded with arguments against the commonly accepted Copernican model and a growing number of people begin to question the commonly accepted and endorsed heliocentric system of astronomy, which suggests a spherical Earth flying and spinning through an infinite universe. But how is it possible that in our age of technological advancement we still cannot agree on the most banal question of all time – What is the shape of the world we live in?

As soon as we begin to analyze the history of the heliocentric model, it becomes evident that its origins are closely tied to the Jesuit Order, Freemasonry, and to the Vatican. Our current education system was spread and established throughout the world by the Jesuit Order. In the 16th century, Jesuit missionaries traveled the world and established a network of

indoctrination centers, which is also known as the public school system, to promote the heliocentric/Copernican model of the universe as the foundation for all future education and science. Have you ever read the following: Jesuit school, Jesuit Indoctrination Center, Jesuit College? Since the sixteenth century, the Jesuits have been pursuing a worldwide intellectual monopoly to promote the idea of a spherical Earth flying through an infinite vacuum of space, revolving around the Sun once in 365 days, and spinning around its own axis every 24 hours. But did you know that despite all the velocity that we are allegedly exposed to, our daily life and the world around us presupposes a fixed and stationary Earth that does not tolerate any motion and velocity? Nowhere in nature is the factor of velocity of the Earth taken into account as we should expect it on a heliocentric round Earth flying and spinning through space. So why is the counter-intuitive concept of a flying round Earth still commonly accepted? Most people accept the Copernican model for two reasons - First, it has been implanted in our minds since our earliest child hood via education, cartoons, movies, and documentaries etc. The other reason why the round Earth is accepted by the vast majority of the world's population is because it seems to harmonize with most of our observations in the sky and on Earth. A model of the universe has the main purpose to calculate astronomical cycles, including the motion of the Sun, sunset and sunrise, moon phases, eclipses, motion of the night sky, motion of the planets, seasons etc. Does a model provide a way and a system to calculate and accurately predict the next lunar or solar eclipse, and to calculate the cycles of the celestial bodies, then the main requirement is fulfilled. But does the mere capability of predicting and calculating the movements of the sky automatically make the given model an authentic representation of the world, or does a model also presuppose an accurate and coherent interpretation of all fundamental aspects of reality? Aside from the capability of predicting and calculating the orbital movements, a model must also provide a thorough and consistent elaboration and interpretation of all technical aspects, and to be capable of withstanding the most basic questions: What is light and how does it work?, Is light curved or linear?, What is physical matter?, What are atoms made of and how do they work?, What is space?, and, What is the force behind "gravity" and how does it work?

Every honest scientist will agree on the fact that a model cannot be based on observations and mathematics alone while most of the basic aspects are being treated separately and neglected, yet that is exactly what happened with the introduction of the Copernican model. It turns out that the Corpernican model was "declared" official long before its proponents, who were exclusively Jesuits, made the effort to provide an interpretation of visible light, of matter, of space, and of the force behind gravity. When the round earth model was introduced by Nicolas Copernicus in the early sixteenth century, it lacked a

clear definition of what visible light actually is and how it works. Even to this day, scientist still cannot agree on the most crucial aspect of the universe, namely, the very nature and structure of light itself. Several theories propose a wild variety of potential solutions - Isaac Newton's theory of light being comprised of tiny particles; Christiaan Huygen's theory of light waves spreading in spherical waves; Max Planck's theory of the light particle being a quantum charged with energy; and finally, Albert Einstein's solution to combine Newton's particles and Planck's charged quanta, and the result was charged particles. According to the currently canonized particle theory, light manifests in the form of tiny particles which, magically, emerge out of nowhere and in unlimited amounts, and spread in space, and somehow, magically, even manage to accomplish such a perplex task like transmitting detailed visual information. The emerging questions are - Where do the particles come from, and where do the particles go after they have lost their charge? How do these light particles transmit complex visual information? None of these questions can be answered by the current scientist community, yet we are supposed to blindly accept A. Einstein's idea of light. But why is the question about the nature of light so important in the first place, you ask? It's obvious - The nature of light is an indispensable, and perhaps the most crucial factor for a correct interpretation of the universe, and of the movements of the heavens.

The Copernican model was based on the "premature" assumption that light is linear, whereas the possibility of light being curved has never been taken into consideration. From a technical point of view, the orbital movements, including the rising and setting of the Sun, and the horizon line limiting our view can be explained under two conditions: 1) Linear light hitting on a curved surface. 2) Curved light diffusing over a linear surface. In other words - is the light linear, the surface must be curved. Is the light curved, then the surface must be linear. So how come the Jesuits and the scientist community chose the concept of linear light and a curved surface, but completely neglected the possibility of curved light and a linear surface?

Did you know that we can place the Earth in the center of the solar system and all mathematics and observations would still work? This evokes another question - Why did the Jesuits pick the Sun-centered layout over the Earth-centered, and even abolished the existing geocentric model despite the fact that the geocentric model is just as mathematically consistent as the heliocentric. The answer is in the term itself - Helios stands for Sun-God. Our current science is based on the ancient Sun worship which places the Sun in the center of Creation. Consequently, all new developments, discoveries, and ideas that do not harmonize with the Helios-centered worldview, regardless of their validity or benefit, are systematically rejected, denied funding, and their proponents may face ex-communication from the scientist community or worse.

We have been taught that science and religion were two poles opposing each other, but if we look at the arrangement of science and at that of religion and compare them, the line between these two begins to blur and to vanish. If we replace the founders of the heliocentric science, namely, Nicolas Copernicus, Isaac Newton, and Albert Einstein with the term "prophets"; the current science community which we see in the media with the term „high priests"; the term „unscientiffic" with the term „heretic"; and the term „theory" with the term „dogma", it turns out that science and religion have more in common than the scientist community and the media would like to admit.

What about the concept of believing in something that has never been proven? Even here, science and religion seem to be siblings. Science accuses religion of being unfounded, inconsistent with known reality, and of depending on belief alone, but when reviewed objectively, the heliocentric science itself turns out to depend on a blind belief in wonders and miracles that are contrary to the known laws of nature. For example, Einstein's idea of magically appearing and disappearing light particles contradicts the "well-established" laws of the heliocentric laws of physics. The idea of empty space giving birth to particles in unlimited amounts is nothing less than a belief in miracles. The same belief in miracles that modern scientists accuse the religious worldview of. What about gravity, the fundamental force in the heliocentric universe? Like in the case of the light particle theory, the mechanics of gravity have never been defined nor elaborated. Technically, gravity was introduced as a magical force which makes massive objects attract less massive objects. Whether it's mass attracting mass or bent space pushing mass towards mass, either way, it's still a scientifically unfounded theory which has never been proven to be correct. Technically, gravity depends on belief alone and it is safe to say that this theory cannot claim authenticity as opposed to the widespread claim of the scientist community. Nevertheless, the theory of gravity, as well as the light particle theory, have been embraced and canonized without any proof or further definition, and are still being pushed by the education system and by the media as a form of a modern religious dogma. How often do we hear,"Einstein was right" in the media? It seems that the only goal of modern science was to find out that Einstein was right, and that the existing dogmas were correct. That being said, it becomes evident that the heliocentric science does not represent an objective stand point in the debate as we are supposed to believe.

Did you know that the aether was believed to be the fundamental force of the universe up until the early twentieth century? And did you know that there was a large scale campaign to undermine and to deny the existence of the aether, and to substitute the aether with "gravity"? When was the last time you heard a mainstream scientist mention the aether? And how often have you heard scientists talk about gravity? Exactly!

In this work, we are going to elaborate a model that is based on curved light, instead of linear; we are going to elaborate the aether as the fundamental force of the universe; and we are going to work out a model that is consistent with mathematics and with observations. Note that this work is primarily of scientific character and is not based scripture alone.
Furthermore, this value includes solid proof against a rotating round Earth; it also contains solid proof for the light being curved, and not linear.
We live on a rectangular flat plane, just like depicted on the UTM map; there is a geographic East and a geographic West; the Sun travels from the east to the west, and "resets" every 24 hours. The reason why we see the Sun, Moon, stars, and planets orbiting is because light is curved and bent in the aethereal fields of the Earth, which makes them appear to be encircling the celestial poles, while in reality, their path is a straight shot from east to west.
We are going to get familiar with the concept of a dual astronomy. A dual astronomy assumes a duality between the observable and the physical. What we observe in the sky, including the orbital movements of the luminaries and stars, represents the observable part which is provided by curved light, by aethereal fields bending the light, and by a repetitive nature of space.
The physical part of the duality describes the actual physical movements of the heavens, and the true shape of the Earth, which is rectangular.
Did you know that The Book of Enoch, as well as The Old Testament, describe the Earth being a rectangle with a geographic East and a geographic West? In fact, The Book of Enoch is a precise description of the physical part of the duality, which we are going to elaborate.

Aether - the Force, the Light, and the Blueprint of the Universe

The Einsteinian (Newtonian) idea of the universe, which represents the canon of present day science, is based on the concept of gravity, relativity, and on linear light. It's a widespread misconception that the theory of gravity was a groundbreaking discovery to help understand the universe, and to take humanity to the next level of the scientific evolution. Reality, however, is different - between late 1800' and early 1900', there was an unceasing debate between scientists and inventors who supported the concept of the aether , with Nicola Tesla as the most prominent supporter of the aether, and scientists who denied the existence of the aether and promoted the concept of gravity instead. Albert Einstein represents the leader of the second party. Einstein's gravity and relativity was not a scientific breakthrough of the early twentieth century, like

the media and mainstream science presents it, but a substitute for the aether-force which was believed to be the fundamental force of the universe throughout human history. Most of the inventions and discoveries that make up the modern technological age, including electricity, remote control, magnets, batteries, radio waves, microwaves, and X-Rays - to name a few examples, are aether-based. So what exactly is the aether? The aether is a non-physical, geometric fiber which suffuses the entire universe and permeates everything within. Moreover, the aether is in a constant state of vibration. It's vibrating at a very high frequency, to high to be picked up with the ear; aether cannot be touched, sensed, or measured in the traditional sense, since it follows its own, non-physical laws. For example, the fabric of the aether responds to geometry and to frequency. When exposed to frequency, its fiber contracts and releases three-dimensional patterns, also known as cymatic patterns. When the aether gets exposed to geometry, it releases a frequency of aethereal waves corresponding to the given geometry. The whole structure of the aether rests upon the pillars of the Earth. The pillars of the Earth are vibrating at a very high frequency and the aether is the conductor which distributes the vibration of the pillars throughout the universe. Mass and atoms are constantly striving downwards, towards the source of vibration, as vibration is attraction. Aether is also the non-physical medium which conducts sound and radio waves.

The ancient concept of the aether, as well as the idea of the Earth resting on pillars, is accurately described in the Old Testament, which is why mainstream scientists are unceasingly opposing and discrediting the Bible and creationism. In the late 1800' and early 1900', the scientific world was taken over and from then on controlled by the powers that be, and by big corporations who saw an advantage in the establishment of the gravity-based Copernican model. And since an existence of the aether assumes an infinite source of energy, everywhere and in unlimited amounts, the aether was "abolished" and denied. Our modern, "scientifically enlightened" world depends on the barbaric, yet highly profitable for a few, exploitation of oil, gas, and coal as the major sources of energy. That being said, it doesn't take a rocket scientists to get an idea as to why the big corporations had such a great interest in undermining the concept of the aether along with the possibility of obtaining free energy from the aether. Today's heliocentric scientist community is nothing but a group of employees who represent and impose the interests of their employers, namely, big corporations and the elites, on the rest of the world's population.

Dual Astronomy

The way we perceive reality, including astronomy, and the horizon line which

makes ships disappear bottom first, is part of the "interior design" of the Earth. Our visual impression of the cosmos and of the Earth is created by curved light, and by a repetitive nature of space. From our perspective, it appears as if the Earth were a sphere, while stars, Sun, Moon, and planets appear to be revolving around the sphere, as that is the observable part of the duality. The illusion is nearly perfect, but there are some details that give away the illusion.
A dual astronomy assumes that the night sky was intended to look like a vast space, and that all heavenly bodies and stars were intended to appear as though they were moving in their own circular orbits within that vast space, and that the Earth was intended to appear like a sphere in the midst of it all. The round Earth model as we know it was designed to be the way we would perceive reality, with the apparent orbital cycles providing us a system to calculate and to predict the movements of planets, of Sun and Moon, and of stars. The biggest scientific lie of all times was not to impose the round Earth model, but to sell the observable for the physical. There is an observable reality and a hidden.

*"And after that I saw the **hidden** and the **visible path** of the moon, and she accomplishes the course of her path in that place by day and by night--the one holding a position opposite to the other before the Lord of Spirits. "*
The Book of Enoch 41 : 7

What the Church of Heliocentrism did was to impose the belief that the observable part of reality (illusion) was the physical one. One of the biggest secrets of mankind, which is being hidden from the public and is only accessible to a few, is the fact that we are part of a giant, inconceivably advanced complex comprised of several layers, with the Earth being one of the lower layers. The complex was built by a supreme being a few thousand years ago. It has ends, walls, and works with curved light, instead of with linear.
Did you know that the fixed celestial equator and the separation of stars we observe in the sky is not possible on a spinning sphere? And did you know that the fixed celestial equator proves that we live on a flat and repetitive rectangle? That is, going from east to west or from west to east, you will always end up where you started, all while moving in a straight line over a flat plane? Sounds pretty wild doesn't it? How about the fact that the UTM - grid system has the surface of the Earth divided into perfect squares of equal size which do not overlap? The only surface that can be divided in squares of equal size is a flat rectangle.

In late 2014, after finding out that we live on a rectangular, repeating plane, I realized that I, just like every person I know, knew nothing about the reality we live in, that everything I have learned and assumed to know since the earliest

childhood was fictitious, and that the truth was not accessible to the public. I, then, began studying the motion of stars, perspective, and the way we visualize things in order to understand the nature of light as the key to understanding the universe. With the celestial equator as the only point of reference, I began putting together, little by little, a correct representation of reality that I would be comfortable with. The main focus of my research was on the understanding of the mechanics and engineering of the universe from an exclusively technical point of view based on observations and facts, while trying to avoid any of the existing dogmas. At first, the concept of a repetitive space seemed pretty exotic and wild, and seemed to defy all laws of physics, and despite the evidence that I had, it took time to accept it, but to my surprise, I found out that it was perfectly sound with the Bible and with The Book of Enoch which explicitly refers to the East and West being geographic. For example:

*"And this is the first law of the luminaries: the luminary the Sun has its rising in the **eastern portals of the heaven,** and its setting in the **western portals of the heaven.** "*

The Book Of Enoch 72 : 2

*"For as the lightning **cometh out of the east,** and shineth **even unto the west**; so shall also the coming of the Son of man be. "*

Spoken by the Christ in Matthew 24 : 27

As I proceeded elaborating the rectangular model, I discovered the groundbreaking knowledge and authenticity harbored in the scriptures. It started to make absolute sense as to why mainstream science and scientists are continuously opposing and bashing the Bible, creationism, and the belief in a Creator. The only reason why the heliocentric science is organically opposed by creationism and scriptures is because it poses a threat to the empire of fiction and lies that they have been working on for five hundred years. During my research, I also discovered countless discrepancies, contradictions, and holes in the current mainstream science. The heliocentric science is full of unsolved questions, contradictions, and inconsistencies that scientists are constantly trying to avoid, to silence, and to overshadow with a constant flow of science-fictional fairy tales that they are selling for reality. Accepting the illusion as reality created an incoherent body of science which is doomed to stagnation, incoherence, contradictions, and perpetually unsolved problems and questions, and every single mainstream scientist is well-aware of that.

Did you know that verses like :

*"And the earth was **without form**, and void; and darkness was upon the face of the deep. And the Spirit of God moved upon the face of the waters. "*

Genesis 1:2

*"And God saw the light, that it was good: and **God divided the light from the darkness**. "*

Genesis 1:4

are direct references to the nature of light and to the nature of the universe?

Could you imagine being in a giant complex that has walls, ends, and corners? Or that the Sun is a luminary carried by a machine from east to west?

*"...the Sun, and his circumference is like the circumference of the heaven, and he is quite filled with illuminating and heating fire. The **chariot on which he ascends,** the wind drives,... "*

The Book Of Enoch 72 : 4-5

*"And after this law I saw another law dealing with the smaller luminary, which is named the Moon. And her circumference is like the circumference of the heaven, and **her chariot in which she rides** is driven by the wind,... "*

The Book Of Enoch 73 : 1-2

Believers in the heliocentric universe wonder whether they are alone in the universe or not, but according to the Book of Enoch, there are many worlds within the real, physical universe, and many of them are inhabited by people.

*"And after that I saw all the secrets of the heavens, and **how the kingdom is divided**, and how the actions of men are weighed in the balance. And there I saw **the mansions of the elect and the mansions of the holy**, and mine eyes saw there all the sinners being driven from thence which deny the name of the Lord of Spirits,... "*

The Book Of Enoch 41 : 1-2

This is the first work available that deals with the original concept of a rectangular flat Earth which was prevalent in the ancient world, including in ancient Egypt, and is also described the Bible and in the Book of Enoch. Please keep in mind that this is not a "flat Earth model", but a body of science which includes an interpretation and definition of light, of matter and atoms, of space, and of the aether-force. Furthermore, it includes an elaboration of the anatomy of the universe, as well as a complete interpretation of astronomy, including the

orbital movements, sunrise, sunset, eclipses, movement of the stars (southern and northern spin), twenty-four hours midnight Sun (in the north and in the south), seasons, perspective, horizon line, and much else. I invite the reader to follow me through this work and discover reality from a new and exciting perspective - from the perspective of creationism.

My Claims

Claim 1: ***UTM-grid is the correct representation of the Earth's surface***

The Earth is a flat rectangle divided into perfect 100 kilometer squares as depicted on the Universal Transverse Mercator grid. The UTM-map is divided in precise squares of equal size. Each square is broken down into smaller squares, all the way down to squares of only 10cm * 10cm, while the precision and accuracy is maintained. The only surface which can be divided into precise 100km*100km squares is a flat rectangle as depicted on the conventional UTM-grid. The UTM map is also used by the military and in aviation.

Claim 2: ***Light is curved and the surface is straight***

Light is curved, while the surface of the Earth is straight, not the other way round.

Claim 3: ***"Gravity" is aethereal vibration***

Aether is a non-physical fiber which suffuses the entire universe and permeates everything within. It rests upon the pillars of the Earth below and serves as a conductor of the vibration of the pillars of the Earth. Matter and atoms strive towards the origin of vibration – downwards, as aethereal vibration is the original force behind "gravity".

Claim 4: ***The fixed celestial equator proves rectangular, stationary Earth***

The fixed celestial equator proves the absence of a rotation of the Earth, as well as the absence of a rotation of a celestial sphere around a stationary round Earth. Moreover, the celestial equator proves the Earth to be a flat rectangle

with the equator dividing North from South. The celestial equator also proves space to be repetitive. That is, going from west to east or from east to west, you'll always arrive at the starting point, all while moving over a flat surface.

Claim 5: ***Horizon line is the circle of view on a flat surface***

The horizon line, is the circle of intersection between the curved light and the flat surface, instead of between a linear light and a curved surface.

Claim 6: ***The universe is a cube-shaped complex with an Up and a Down***

The universe is an artificial cube-shaped complex comprised of several platforms. The rectangular Earth is one of the platforms. All known astronomy, including the apparent orbital movements of the stars, planets, Moon and Sun, is part of the design and is not to be confused with the physical part of the dual astronomy.

Claim 7: ***Dual astronomy***

The round Earth model represents the observable aspect of the dual astronomy. The way we perceive reality represents the interior design which is created by curved light and by a repetitive nature of space. The observable astronomy is also meant to provide us a mathematical system to calculate the orbital movements, and to predict lunar and solar eclipses, seasons, planetary cycles etc. A dual astronomy assumes a distinction between the observable astronomy and the physical. From the physical point of view, the Sun, Moon, planets, and stars move from east to west in a straight line, over a flat, rectangular, and repetitive surface. The apparent circular movements are caused by the Foundations of Heaven which are situated along the latitudes 90° in the North and in the South. The Foundations of Heaven radiate an aethereal vibration which bends the light from the luminaries and stars thus making them appear to be describing a circular path, while their physical path is straight.

Arguments Against the Copernican Round Earth Model

The following title contains a series of solid arguments against the Copernican concept of a round, spinning Earth. The arguments 1 and 3 can be considered solid proof; both 1 and 3 irrefutably prove the round, spinning Earth to be wrong.

Argument 1: ***The fixed celestial equator***

The heliocentric round Earth model dictates that the celestial equator was perspective, and that it was created by a rotation of the Earth. However, in case of a rotation, the celestial equator should follow the observer from latitude to latitude according to the law of perspective on a rotating object. The fact that the celestial equator appears as a fixed line running along the terrestrial equator from any vantage point on Earth proves that it's not subjected to the laws of perspective on a spinning sphere. This proves that the celestial equator is GEOGRAPHIC, instead of PERSPECTIVE. Therefore, an axis of rotation can be excluded.

Argument 2: ***Separation of stars***

The night sky can be observed apparently squeezing and stretching throughout its motion from east to west. Two stars at the celestial equator opposing each other are observed further away from each other the closer to the horizon, and closer to each other in the zenith. This proves that light is curved, instead of linear. The Copernican model is based on the idea of linear light and is inconsistent with curved light. If light is curved, then the whole heliocentric model is invalid.

Argument 3: ***UTM grid***

The surface of the Earth between the latitude 84° North and 80° South is divided into 100 kilometer squares which do not overlap. Each square is broken down into smaller squares, all the way down to squares of 1 meter and below. Each square meter is defined as a square within a square, within a square etc. This is the basic principle of navigation, GPS, military maps, and coordinate systems. The only surface that can be divided into squares of equal size which do not overlap is a flat rectangle as depicted of the UTM grid. The UTM grid is used by the military, and in aviation, since it represents the accurate, to-scale representation of the Earth's surface.

This Value Contains :

-Introduction to the authentic and accurate map of the Earth's surface

-Introduction to the light body concept, including perspective, horizon line etc.

-Introduction to the pillars of the Earth

-Introduction to the Foundations of Heaven

-Introduction to the aether and its role in Creation

-Elaboration of the eather-force as the force behind "gravity"

-Introduction to the three elements: Light, Lightning, and Darkness.

-Introduction to the concept of space being a cube-shaped, repetitive domain.

-Introduction to matter and atoms, to molecules, to atomic shapes and properties, and to atomic attraction.

-Introduction to magnetism.

-Elaboration of observable astronomy and the observed orbital movements: the motion of the Sun, sunset/sunrise, seasons, time zones, planetary cycles, motion of stars (northern and southern stars spin), solar and lunar eclipses and much else.